

Government Exhibit A

ALANA W. ROBINSON
Acting United States Attorney
DANIEL E. ZIPP
Assistant United States Attorney
California Bar No. 262118
United States Attorney's Office
880 Front Street, Room 6293
San Diego, California 92101-8893
Tel: (619) 546-8463
Email: Daniel.Zipp@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff
United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

JEFFREY B. KELLER,

Defendant.

Case No. 13-CR-0424-JLS

Date: July 28, 2017
Time: 9:00 a.m.

SENTENCING MEMORANDUM

NOW COMES plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel, Acting United States Attorney ALANA W. ROBINSON and Assistant United States Attorney Daniel E. Zipp, and hereby files the following sentencing memorandum, which is based on the files and records of this case.

I.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

In 2011, the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) began an investigation into the tax activities of Jeffrey Keller (Keller), based on a whistleblower complaint from one of Keller's associates. According to the informant, Keller's firm, Wallsworth Cobb Investment Management, LLC, used the services of a local attorney named Kasra Sadr to hide millions of dollars in income using offshore accounts. Specifically, Keller

1 would regularly send income to accounts controlled by Sadr and claim schedule C
2 deductions for consulting and legal fees. In reality, Sadr did not provide consulting or
3 legal services but simply held Keller's funds and returned them, minus a commission,
4 into different accounts. Over the course of tax years 2007 through 2009, Keller evaded
5 tax liability on millions of dollars in income, resulting in a tax loss to the IRS of
6 approximately \$995,000 dollars.

7 As the IRS began its investigation into Keller's tax evasion, agents also
8 discovered issues with the *source* of Keller's income. At the time, Keller was a licensed
9 insurance broker, with agreements with several major life insurance agencies. Industry
10 practice dictates that when an insurance broker originates a new life insurance policy,
11 he or she typically receives an immediate commission roughly equal to the first full-
12 year of insurance premium payments on the policy. For life insurance policies on high
13 net-worth individuals, this broker's commission can be equal to hundreds of thousands
14 of dollars, paid up-front, at the time the policy is signed.

15 The source of Keller's substantial income was a scheme involving a concept
16 called stranger-originated life insurance ("STOLI"). Generally speaking, STOLIs are
17 life insurance policies purchased—and most commonly subsequently sold—by third-
18 party investors, who have no interest in the person insured. These investors make the
19 regular premium payments and then they receive the payout whenever the insured
20 eventually dies. Keller originated a large number of these STOLI policies for elderly
21 individuals and earned a profit through (1) the immediate commissions earned upon
22 issuance of the policies, and (2) the sale of the policies on the secondary market. As
23 outlined below, however, both sources of profit depended on multiple fraudulent
24 misrepresentations to the insurance providers who issued the policies.

25 First, in order to earn the largest possible commission, Keller sought to originate
26 multi-million dollar life insurance policies, with correspondingly large first-year
27 premiums. To do so, he needed to find high net-worth individuals who the insurance
28 providers would be willing to insure for such large amounts. When those high net-

1 worth individuals proved elusive, Keller used “finders” to recruit elderly clients of
2 modest means (the Straw Buyers) and simply falsified their income and net worth on
3 the insurance application. The Straw Buyers generally consented to the scheme since
4 they did not actually have to make any premium payments on the policy, and because
5 they typically received some direct payment—usually at the conclusion of the two-year
6 contestability period for their policy. Second, in addition to falsifying the financial
7 information of the Straw Buyers, Keller also submitted other material
8 misrepresentations to the insurance companies. Many of the insurance applications
9 included explicit questions intended to ferret out potential STOLI investors. On the
10 applications submitted by Keller, he provided materially false responses, in order to
11 hide the fact that the policies were being funded by outside investors.

12 One reason that insurance companies seek to avoid STOLI policies, is that a
13 significant percentage of individuals who purchase *personal* life insurance policies
14 eventually stop making payments and allow the policy to lapse before they die.
15 Insurance companies know of this fact, and they set their premium rates accordingly.
16 In contrast, STOLI investors are much more likely to continue payments on their
17 accounts until the insured actually dies, so that they can earn a return on their
18 investment. Nevertheless, in this case, the investors for all of the policies Keller created
19 stopped payment before the insured party died, and the insurance companies were
20 never required to pay death benefits. Overall, while Keller earned substantial income
21 from the fraudulent scheme, the income he received was less than the total premiums
22 paid by investors, and none of the insurance companies suffered any financial loss as
23 a result of the scheme.

24 II.

25 SENTENCING RECOMMENDATION

26 Pursuant to the plea agreement, the United States calculates Keller’s base
27 offense level and specific offense characteristics as follows: For the wire-fraud
28 conspiracy count, related to the STOLI scheme, the United States recommends an

1 offense level of 20, based on a gain of more than \$550,000. For the tax evasion scheme,
2 the United States also recommends an offense level of 20, based on a tax loss of more
3 than \$550,000. Under the grouping provision of § 3D1.4, this results in a combined
4 offense level of 22. The United States recommends a three level acceptance of
5 responsibility under § 3E1.1. This results in a guideline range of 30 to 37 months'
6 custody.

7 In addition, the United States recommends a three level reduction, under 18
8 U.S.C. § 3553, for two reasons. First, as outlined above, the nature and circumstances
9 of the offense were mitigated, to some extent, because none of the insurance
10 companies actually lost any money as a result of Keller's misrepresentations. Second,
11 there is a need to avoid unwarranted sentencing disparities, specific to this case. On
12 March 7, 2014, this Court sentenced Keller's co-defendant, Stuart Steinfeld to 60 days'
13 custody. Although Steinfeld did not earn as much income as Keller and occupied a
14 lesser role in the STOLI scheme, his sentence was dramatically less than even the low-
15 end of the guidelines for Keller. Furthermore, there were four additional defendants,
16 Kasra Sadr, Byron Frisch, Kristian Giordano, and Brenda Berrera, all of whom were
17 indicted separately from Keller based on a similar, related STOLI scheme. The Court
18 dismissed the indictment against these defendants without prejudice in 2016, and the
19 United States elected not to refile charges. Thus, the fact that Keller's co-defendant
20 received a sixty-day sentence, and several of co-conspirators received no sentence at
21 all, weighs in favor of a sentence below the applicable 30-month guideline. For all
22 these reasons, the United States recommends the court depart downward three levels
23 under 18 U.S.C. § 3553.

24 IV.

25 CONCLUSION

26 For the reasons outlined above, the United States recommends the court impose
27 sentence at the low-end of the applicable guideline range.
28

1 DATED: July 20, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

2 ALANA W. ROBINSON
Acting United States Attorney

3
4 /s Daniel E. Zipp
DANIEL E. ZIPP
Assistant U.S. Attorney

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
v.
JEFFREY B. KELLER,
Defendant.

Case No. 13-CR-0424-JLS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED THAT:

I, Daniel E. Zipp, am a citizen of the United States and am at least eighteen years of age. My business address is 880 Front Street, Room 6293, San Diego, California 92101-8893.

I am not a party to the above-entitled action. I have caused service of the Government's Sentencing Summary Memorandum dated July 20, 2017, and this Certificate of Service, dated July 20, 2017, on the following parties by electronically filing the foregoing with the Clerk of the District Court using its ECF System, which electronically notifies them:

Michael L. Lipman
Counsel for Defendant

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on July 20, 2017.

/s/ Daniel E. Zipp
DANIEL E. ZIPP
Assistant United States Attorney